X-ray and γ -ray observations of solar flares with RHESSI

Sam Krucker UC Berkeley and the RHESSI team

RHESSI

THE REUVEN RAMATY HIGH ENERGY SOLAR SPECTROSCOPIC IMAGER





To explore the basic physics of particle acceleration and explosive energy release in solar flares Reuven Ramaty 1937 – 2001

Solar X-ray emissions

- energetic electrons in plasma
 - → non-thermal bremsstrahlung (collisions)
 more emission in high density plasma
 → emission from footpoints (thick target)



Solar X-ray emissions

- energetic electrons in plasma
 - → non-thermal bremsstrahlung (collisions) more emission in high density plasma
 → emission from footpoints (thick target)
 → non-thermal emission from loop much weaker (thin target), most often too weak to be seen



Solar X-ray emissions

- energetic electrons in plasma
 - → non-thermal bremsstrahlung (collisions)
 more emission in high density plasma
 → emission from footpoints
- if temperature is high enough (~MK and above)
 - → thermal bremsstrahlung in X-ray range hot, dense plasma in loops

photosphere

HXR

footpoints





Above the loop to HXR source

YOHKOH HXT observations:

Only very rarely seen! 6 good events in 10 years. The most famous one is the so-called Masuda flare (Masuda et al. 1994)



Magnetic reconnection model



- 1) Release of magnetic energy
- 2) Accelerated electrons produce HXRs and heat loop
- 3) Above loop top source not understood
- 4) Ion acceleration even less understood. RHESSI provides first imaging.



from Shibata



RHESSI imaging

incoming X-rays



detected signal is modulated

RHESSI imaging: rotating modulation collimators (RMCs)

9 grids with different spacing \rightarrow 9 images at different resolution



RHSI point spread function **PSF**



PSF is slightly different for different locations in image

psf = o → getdata(class_name='hsi_psf', xy_pixel=xy)
psf = hsi_annsec2xy(psf, o)

Summing individual PSF gives PSF of reconstructed image FWHM



UNIFORM weighting more weight to finer grids → FWHM smaller

NATURAL weighting same weight for all grids → FWHM larger

9.8"

for grid 3-9

15.5"

for comparison:7.1"finest single grid7.1

i.e. using grid 3 through 9 in BACKPROJECTION and CLEAN gives a net resolution larger than for grid 3 alone.

RHESSI spectrum: ~ 1 keV



Photon spectrum

Thermal fit in red.

Non-thermal in blue. (broken power law).

photon spectrum count spectrum

SPEX RHESSI Photon Flux vs Energy with Fit Function, Interval 9 SPEX RHESSI Count Flux vs Energy with Fit Function, Interval 9 10 1 1 1 1 100.000 Detectors: 1F 3F 4F 5F 6F 8F 9F 19-Aug-2004 06:53:57.151 to 06:54:01.197 (Data-Bk) — Detectors: 1F 3F 4F 5F 6F 8F 9F 19-Aug-2004 06:53:57.151 to 06:54:01.197 (Data-Bk) — Iron line complex observations 10.000 10 1.000 cm⁻² kev counts s⁻¹ cm⁻² kev 10 photons s⁻¹ turnover 0.100 energy 10 0.010 vth+3pow vth+3pow vth 0.418,2.09 3pow 7.59,1.70,18.9,5.99,39.2,8.12 vth 0.418,2.09 3pow 7.55,1.70,18.9,5.99,39.2,8.08 0.001 10 100 10 100 Energy (keV) Energy (keV) 25-Aug-2004 15:00 25-Aug-2004 14:49

RHESSI IMAGING SPECTROSCOPY

- Imaging down to ~3 keV with 2.3" resolution, less at higher energies, e.g. 35" at 2.2 MeV
- ~1 keV resolution, ~5 keV at higher energies

Selected RHESSI results

- HXR footpoints and their motions
- Coronal X-ray sources
- Imaging in γ -rays: location of protons



HXR source motions in magnetic reconnection models

Yohkoh observations: Sakao et al. 1994, 1995

Simple motion in 2 dimensions; in 3 dimensions motion is likely more complex.

 v_{in} and B_c are difficult to observe; Easier to observed are v_{fp} and B_{fp}

- v_{in} = coronal inflow velocity
- v_{fp} = footpoint velocity
- $B_c = coronal magnetic field strength$
- B_{fp} = magnetic field strength in HXR source
 - ~ photospheric value

Main phase (H α image)



23-Jul-2002 00:26:35.000 UT

X (arcsecs)





-840





Velocity-HXR flux correlation



Rough correlation between v and HXR flux

 $d\Phi = B v a dt$ Reconnection rate $d\Phi/dt = B v a$

v= velocity B= magnetic field strength a=footpoint diameter

B hard to observe for near flare B~1000 G; a~2000km

 $\Rightarrow d\Phi/dt \sim 2e18 Mx/s$ $\Rightarrow E \sim 5 kV/m$



Motion is correlated with energy release Reconnection rate

Reconnection rate $d\Phi/dt=B v a$ v= velocity B= magnetic field strength a=footpoint diameter



Magnetic field strength variations not known!

Middle phase without motion, but still with HXR emission. Does not fit in simple picture

GOES X1.5 flare on Jan 19, 2005



RHESSI



two ribbon flare
one HXR footpoint on each ribbon (blue)
thermal source in corona between ribbons (red)

X-ray imaging: impulsive phase



similar source geometry observed during gradual HXR emission: Gradual HXR emission is coming from footpoints (THICK target model) (Qui, Wang, Gary 2004, Kundu et al. 2004)







Footpoint motion

Impulsive phase: Motion rather along the ribbon Northern footpoint: 20-50 km/s Southern footpoint: complex

TRACE 1600A: 19-Jan-2005 08:11:40.857 UT 360 340 320 Y (arcsecs) 300 280 260240 660 680 700 760 780 800 720740 X (arcsecs)

Later phase: Slow motion Northern footpoint: ~2.5 km/s Southern footpoint: ?



Different directions of motion in impulsive phase and gradual phase



Dashed lines give main direction of motion.

Impulsive phase: Fast motion along ribbon

Gradual phase: Slow motion rather perpendicular to ribbon

2002 november 9 flare: Grigis&Benz 2005 Apj 625, L143

Summary footpoint motion

- Clear motion observed, but often along the ribbons
- Often complex source geometry & motions
- Sometimes motion correlated with energy release

Coronal sources in initial phase

RHESSI & TRACE 195A: 23-Jul-2002 00:20:02.000 UT -180-200-220 (arcsecs) -240 -260-280-300-920 -900 -880-860-840-820-940X (arcsecs)

Spectrum is soft (power law index ~ 5), thermal fit does not work.





Initial phase: Thermal and broken power law fit (Holman et al. 2003, Caspi et al. 2005)



Initial phase: Thermal and broken power law fit (Holman et al. 2003, Caspi et al. 2005)



Nobeyama observations (White et al. 2003): Spectrum too steep for thermal emission \rightarrow non-thermal emission



Very similar flare: 2002 August 24

Limb flare! Nobeyama observations!



TRACE & RHESSI



Limb flare, likely partly occulted.

EUV emission shows loop, possibly high temperature response.



TRACE & RHESSI



Limb flare, likely partly occulted. Pixon image, g4-7: 10 keV emission from loops.


TRACE & RHESSI



Limb flare, likely partly occulted. Pixon image, g4-7: 10 keV emission from loops. 35 keV emission from corona.



Nobeyama observations



Limb flare, likely partly occulted. Pixon image, g4-7: 10 keV emission from loops. 35 keV emission from corona.



Evidence for the Formation of Large-Scale, Reconnecting Current Sheet Linhui Sui, Gordon D. Holman



Cusp-shaped loop; Possible formation of current sheet?



From Shibata

Evidence for the Formation of Large-Scale, Reconnecting Current Sheets Linhui Sui, Gordon D. Holman



HXR emissions from1) footpoints2) source in corona? or projection effect?



Temperature gradient is observed





Coronal X-rays emission in a partially occulted flare



1.6 keV 3.1 keV 10 1 2+10 www. 1.0-10 Occultation of 8.0+10 6.0-10 4.0+10 2 0+10 6-7 keV intense 2000 1500 source can 1000 600 10-15 kel reveal presence 100 80 Mach 60 of weaker counts 40 8-30 kol sources Vplasmoid 1330 2004 Oct 04 plasmoid/filament HXR footpoints $\leftarrow v_{inflow}$ **⇒** are behind reconnection jet fast shock the limb; HXR loop top source Not seen by XR lo p RHESSI solar disk /

Paula Balciunaite; Sa"m Krucker

Flare with occulted footpoints



HXR -0 P_THN_B 18-Nov-2003 08:23:15.371 UT SXI emission -100from corona -200 Gradual -300 variation below -40010 keV -1200-1100-1000X (arcsecs) fast time SXR movie (GOES SXT) variations

-900

Flare with occulted footpoints

Nov 18, 2003: GOES M4 10-4 GOES flux [Watt m⁻²] 1.6 keV 10⁻⁵ 3.1 keV 10-6 6000 counts s⁻¹ 4000 6-10 keV 2000 ~~ night 200 150 counts s⁻¹ 100 50 20-30 keV night 100 10000 photon energy [keV] 10 night

0930

hhmm 2003 Nov 18 1000

1030

HXR emission from corona Gradual variation below

fast time variations in HXRs

10 keV





Above loop top source moves upwards in time



Velocities between 5-40 km/s. Similar velocities as generally observed for footpoint motions



~30 min

Spectral evolution



Thermal emission dominates below ~15 keV

soft spectrum $(\gamma \sim 6)$ at higher energies

Energy deposition in coronal source

Assuming **THICK** target model

integrated:

close to 1e31erg!

 \rightarrow a lot of heating in non-thermal source

Observed thermal source (GOES/RHESSI) contains ~1e30 erg

Assuming THIN target model

integrated: still \sim 1e30erg \rightarrow significant heating is expected

Is 20 keV source is a combination of thermal and nonthermal emission?

Multi-thermal fit?



3 thermal components fit spectra as well as a power law. Very hot temperatures needed, small EM (1e45 cm⁻³)

How can fast variations be explained? Adiabatic compression?



Thermal or non-thermal emission?

Problems with non-thermal interpretation

- is density high enough? Trapping?
- a lot of energy is deposited in corona \rightarrow heating

Problems with thermal interpretation

- short time variations
- very high temperatures

RHESSI (>3keV) – Ulysses (>25 keV) solar X-ray flare observations

flare position angle from RHESSI

flare

flare position angle from Ulysses Partly occulted flae for one spacecraft

 → allows to study coronal HXR emission

2002 April 04 RHESSI: east limb, 93-102 degrees) Ulysses: 74-78 degrees



EIT & RHESSI (pixon)





Power law fits above 40 keV

At 40 keV, RHESSI sees ~10 % of the flux seen by ULYSSES (corrected to 1 AU).

ULYSSES (red): Power law index around 3

RHESSI (black): Spectral hardening during peak.

Difference in power law indices smaller than 2 (thin-thick target difference)

RHESSI γ -ray flares

Date	GOES Class
2002 July 23	X4.8
2003 June 17	M6.9
2003 October 28	X17
2003 October 29	X10
2003 November 2	X8.3
2003 November 3	X3.9
2004 November 10	X2.5
2005 January 15	X2.6
2005 January 17	X3.8
2005 January 19	X1.3
2005 January 20	X7.1

plus at least 6 flares with high-energy electron bremsstrahlung emission

Electrons vs Protons (Shih et al. 2005)



Rough linear correlation.

Electron and proton production is correlated.

>300 keV fluence (ELECTRONS)

PROTONS 2223 keV fluence

γ -rays and SEPs



γ -rays and SEPs



γ -rays and SEPs



Comparing ion spectra: flare & SEP



Comparing spectrum around 10-40 MeV.

Oct 28: somewhat off Nov 2: large errors, but agrees Jan 20: agrees

Well connected events agree → ions we see at 1AU could be from flare!

January 20, 2005 SEP event



Very short time to maximum intensity (30 min)

from Mewaldt et al.

Very hard spectrum

γ -ray imaging with RHESSI

Gordon Hurford et al. 2003, 2005

- Before RHESSI, no imaging in the γ -ray range available
- RHESSI γ-ray imaging at 35" and 180" resolution (compared to 2" for HXRs, i.e. electrons)
- Low photon statistics: integration over total flare duration needed
- 2.2MeV line is best candidate





Where are the γ -ray footpoints relative to the HXR footpoints?

July 23: electrons



Integrated image over main HXR peaks ~ 8 minutes

Contours: 3" resolution image

Cross marks centroid location when only grid 6 and 9 are used

HXR sources are on EUV ribbons

MDI magnetogram

July 23: electrons-ions



2.2 MeV centroid
(e.g. PROTONS)
displaced from
50 keV centroid
(i.e. ELECTRONS)
by ~ 20 arcsec
(~5 sigma result)

No Halpha, EUV, X-ray enhancement at 2.2 MeV centroid location

from Hurford et al. 2003

October 28: electrons



HXR image (electrons) is snapshot at 11:06:46 UT

HXR ribbons are moving
2.2 MeV is produced ~100 s
delayed
→ comparison not trivial

Also:

2.2 MeV image (protons) is averaged over 15 minutes

different spatial resolution Electrons ~2 arcsec 2223 keV: ~30 arcsec

October 28: electrons vs protons



HXR image (electrons) is snapshot at 11:06:46 UT

2.2 MeV image (protons) is averaged over 15 minutes

CONCLUSIONS:

- 1) Electrons and protons both close to ribbons
- 2) difference <15arcsec (~1e4 km)
- 3) e and p are accelerated in loops of similar size

SUMMARY

- HXR footpoint motion: often motion along ribbons; correlated with energy release; often complex motions
- 2) Gradual HXR emission from footpoints
- 3) Temperature gradient possibly outlining reconnection region
- 4) coronal HXR emission have soft spectra with short time variations. HXRs above thermal loops
- 5) γ -ray footpoints: protons and electrons do not lose their energy at the same location

http://hessi.ssl.berkeley.edu

X-ray focusing optics

Advantage:

Large effective area, tiny detectors → ~1000 times more sensitive than RHESSI Better dynamic range

Disadvantage (2005):

works only up to ten's of keV resolution > 20 arcsec



Multi-spacecraft inner-heliosphere mission



Combining remote sensing and in-situ observations.

e.g. X-ray imaging in-situ electron

On NASA roadmap.

Movie shows possible orbits





Escaping electrons

SXR flare emission (heating)

Radio type III burst (electron beam leaving Sun) plasma frequency around spacecraft shows Langmuir waves ~1-15 keV electrons produce Langmuir waves

electron event at 1 AU

GOES/RHESSI/Waves observations



GOES M1 flare

RHESSI spectrogram

WIND/WAVES 1-14 MHz

Comparing spectra



PHOTON SPECTRA: Power law fit to HXR spectra averaged over peak ELECTRON SPECTRA: Power law fit to peak flux

 \rightarrow rough correlation
Comparing spectra



PHOTON SPECTRA: Power law fit to HXR spectra averaged over peak ELECTRON SPECTRA: Power law fit to peak flux Assuming power spectra:

THIN: $\delta = \gamma - 1$ THICK: $\delta = \gamma + 1$

RESULTS:1) correlation seen2) values are between

Solar source region in HXRs & EUV

TRACE 195A & RHESSI: 01:41:54.0 UT



EUV jet suggests existence of open field lines.



